Sectt. II-18—34,646—20-1-22.

Revenue (1923) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 429

DATED 13. 3. 1923

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment — South Kanara — Revenue

Inspectors — Reduction

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.F.—39.

REVENUE **DEPARTMENT.**

CURRENT No. C 906 2-3

Received _____ 19 . *Registered* 2-MAR 1923 19 .

Subject

Establishment- South Kanara District- Revenue Inspectors- Number reduction.

ENCLOSURES.
No. _____
Spare copies _____

Refce. **No.** G. 439/23-1.

Station Madras. , *Dated the* 26th February 1923.

From

S. Wadsworth Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary,
Land Revenue. and
~~The~~ Board of ~~Revenue~~, ~~Revenue~~ Settlement, ~~etc.~~
~~Separate Revenue.~~
M. E. Couchman Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

~~Resolution~~
Reference.

N. Macmichael Esq., I.C.S.,
Commr. of Land Revenue & Settlement.

Read the following paper:-

Letter from M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur S.N.V. Rajachar Avl.,B.A., Collector of South Kanara, Ref. No. 7624-22.V.2 dated 28th January 1923.

The present staff of Revenue Inspectors including the staff of Land Records Inspectors merged in the Revenue Inspectorate is shown below:-

Mangalore Taluk	..	7
Kasaragod	,,	6
Uppinangadi	,,	7
Udipi	,,	5
Coondapur	,,	4
Karkal	..	5

The amalgamated staff has been working since October 1921. A comparison of the arrears under Maintenance of Land Records and Sub Division work at present with those of the corresponding period before the amalgamation shows a decided improvement. The arrears have gone down to a great extent. The result is no doubt due to the increase in the staff and the consequent reduction in the jurisdiction of the Revenue Inspectors. My Divisional Officers are of opinion that the Revenue Inspectors' Firkas can be reduced by one in each Taluk and I agree with them. Their proposal may however be tried temporarily for one year with a view to see whether the reduction of six Revenue Inspectors' posts in the District can be given effect to permanently without detriment to efficiency in work. I accordingly recommend that six Revenue Inspectors' posts, one in each Taluk, in the Grade of Rs. 35-1½-50-1-60 may be temporarily abolished for one year from 1st March 1923 to 29th February 1924 when a separate report regarding their permanent abolition or otherwise will be submitted to the Board.

2. The Assistant to the Government Entomologist working in this District in his letter dated 22nd January 1923 (copy enclosed) strongly recommends that the services of a separate staff in the Grade of a Revenue Inspector are essential for controlling the operations undertaken to combat the Cocoanut Pest disease in the Mangalore Municipality and the villages within five miles of it, as the Agricultural Pests and Diseases Act X of 1919 has been introduced in that area for one year with effect from 1st January 1923 as per G.O. No.Mis. 1602 dated 30th November 1922. He urges that a full-time Revenue Inspector should be placed in charge of the operations not only to supervise the work of the Village officers who do not fully realise the seriousness of the situation but also to take prompt and thorough action to eradicate the disease on

which

which the success of the operations mainly depends. I fully agree with him in view of the fact that as many as 7000 cocoanut trees were found attacked by the pest. I had a talk with the Director of Agriculture when he was here recently and he thinks that a special staff is absolutely necessary to control the disease and that he cannot allow his Assistant (Mr. J.A. Muliyil) to continue to control the operations here any longer as his services are required elsewhere urgently and that he would withdraw him very soon. In the above circumstances, I beg to propose to utilise the services of one of the I Grade Revenue Inspector of the Mangalore Taluk from 1st February 1923 for the work connected with the Pest Control operations.

3. I request that the Board may be pleased to sanction the above proposals.

Letter from J. A. Muliyil, B.A., Assistant to Government Entomologist, Special Duty, Mangalore to the Collector of South Kanara, dated Mangalore, 22nd January 1923.

Reference:- Cocoanut leaf Pest (Nephantis scrinopa Meyv) Control.

The Cocoanut Pest Control operations which under the instructions of your late predecessor Mr. Ramacha Nedungadi were started on the 11th day of August 1922 and which received your kind approval and hearty co-operation were brought to a fairly successful termination on the 9th day of December 1922. During this period of 4 months, over 7000 palms were treated and of these very nearly 4000 palms had to be treated a second time. Thus on the whole, for purposes of calculation we can safely say that a little over 11000 palms were subject to departmental operations.

The

The expenses incurred were met by the subscription given by the Collector of the District from his discretionary fund (Rs.250) supplemented by amounts raised by the public and contributed by the Chairman of the Municipality and the Deputy Director of Agriculture VII Circle. On the whole nearly Rs.590 were spent on the operations.

Though in the vast majority of cases the operations were allowed to be carried on smoothly, yet there were many cases of opposition. From time to time such cases where brought to the notice of the District Collector, of the Sub Magistrate and of the Cocoanut Pest Control Committee. Through their kind intervention, some of the stubborn ryots yielded and allowed us to execute the work. Some remained stubborn to the last. In their interests and in the best interest of the vast majority of garden owners who willingly allowed their gardens to be treated, the District Collector was compelled to call for the aid the Agricultural Pest Act and the Government was pleased to pass the said Act to be in force from the 1st of January 1923. Thus the whole of the affected area has passed from the hands of the Entomologist and the said area with villages within a radius of 5 miles round the Municipality are now under the control of the Act. From my experience ~~parity~~ in this work, may I inform the Collector that the two essential factors in the control of this pest are (1) Quickness of the operations (ii) and thoroughness with which the operations are carried out. From what I have seen during the past few days my own opinion is that wehave paid too great a compliment to the potels and shanbhogues in leaving the work in their hands. As they do not realise the seriousness of the pest and the consequences of slow action, they are apt to take things coolly. I do not want to underestimate the ability or enthusiasm of the village officials. My only fear is, that they have not yet fully realised the seriousness of dealing leisurely with this dangerous pest. May I therefore request the Collector to put a full-time Revenue Inspector in charge of these operations who can freely and quickly direct the

village

village officials. A Revenue Inspector who is entrusted with this work in addition to his usual duties, will not, in my opinion be able to combat with the pest. Irrespective of the inspection rounds of the village officials, the Revenue Inspector as the chief Inspecting officer, will have to inspect all the centres of attack in turn and examine each and every garden in the centre. Such a course of work necessarily takes a long time and requires careful watch. Unless the Revenue Inspector is absolutely free to devote his whole time towards this work, I am almost sure even the Pest Act fail to do the needful as speedily as it ought to. The pest is seen now in Attavar, Bunder, Falnir and Hampankatta and though the number of trees where traces of the pest are observed are not very numerous, yet there are gardens where on a few palms the pest is so bad that if left alone for some time they are sure to spread the infection. Such gardens being mostly at Bunder it requires a higher official than a Potel to deal with them thoroughly. On a rough estimate there may be about 500 palms where the pest is seen at present. But as the season is rather favourable, the number of affected palms may soon become numerous.

Taking all these into consideration, may I request the Collector who so timely foresaw the necessity of the Act and called in for its aid to depute a Revenue Inspector to be in charge of the Act, un-encumbered with any other special duties. Such a course of action on the part of the Collector will ensure absolute success.

On my part, I am prepared to render all scientific help to the Revenue officials and to do any other work pertaining to the pest, as directed by the Collector.

Reference

Reference.

The ..... strength of the staff of Revenue Inspectors is 36 and not 34 as reported by the Collector. The Board accepts the Collector's proposal in paragraph 1 of his letter to abolish temporarily the posts of 6 Land Records Inspectors employed as additional Revenue Inspectors. The posts to be abolished should be 3 in the Rs.60-4-80 grade and 3 in the Rs.35-60 grade as the original sanctioned posts of Land Records Inspectors in the District were 3 in the first grade and 3 in the 2nd grade. The Collector is also requested to abolish temporarily the posts of the 6 peons attached to the Revenue Inspectors.

2. In the circumstances reported by the Collector in para 2 of his letter the Board recommends that his proposal to utilise the services of a 1st grade Revenue Inspector of Mangalore Taluk for work connected with Pest Control operations may be sanctioned.

Please see corrs. letter at p. 7 infra

P. Wadsworth

Secretary.

To the Collector of South Kanara.

,, Secretary to Government, Revenue Dept.

,, Secretary to Government, Development Dept.

J.G./28.

9064/23
ns 7/3

1,68,370 8-4-19. 7

C

O.F.—81.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Rev 1129c

Received ..... 191 . *Registered* 10 3-23 1923.

Subject.

Board's Reference No.G.439/23-1, dated 26th February 1923. Establishment - South Kanara - Revenue Inspector - Pest Control Operations - Sanction requested.

ENCLOSURES
No.
Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 7624-22-V2.

Station Camp Hosdrug, *Dated the* 8th March 1923

From (*Name*) M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur S.N.V. Rajachar, Avl., B.A.,

(*Designation*) Collector of South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Revenue Department, Madras.

Sir,

As the Kist collections commence in this District from the 10th instant, the Village officers of Mangalore Town and the Revenue Inspector of Mangalore Firka will be too busy to attend to any work in ------ connexion with the Pest Control operations. I,------ therefore request that the Government may be pleased - to sanction the services of a I Grade Revenue -------- Inspector out of the 3 ordered to be temporarily ----- abolished by the Board, to be utilized exclusively for the work connected with the Pest Control operations.

20 for spare 13/3

-2-

A reply by wire may kindly be sent.

8.3.23

For Collector.

Copy to the Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
Madras.

" " " Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Development Department,
Madras.

M.D'S.8/3:P.

Sectt. II-10 (a)—48,962—2-2-22. (6)

Revenue DEPARTMENT

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..	13/3	JS
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 192 .

" " " " ....., " ..... 192 .

READ ALSO;—

C. No. 906C/23 & 1129C/23, From the Bd of Rev. (LRS) Dept, No. 8439/23-1, dated 26-2- 1923.

" " ..... " " ~~Coll. of S. Kanara Rpt~~, " 192

" " ..... " " ....., " 192

ORDER. No 429, dated 13-3- 1923.

Mine

~~Abolish~~ sanction ~~the~~ ~~Land~~ and ~~Revenue~~ ~~Inspectors~~ 13/3

(Fees Rs 6 paid) 13/3

The Govt. sanction the abolition temporarily for a period of one year from 1st March 1923 of the posts of three Revenue Inspectors on Rs 60-4-80 p.m and three on Rs 35-60 p.m. in the South Kanara Dt. together with six posts of peons on Rs 12-18 p.m. attached to these Revenue Inspectors.

2. The Board of Revenue is requested to submit a report on the feasibility of the permanent abolition of these posts not later than 1st February 1924.

3. The Govt. sanction the employment for ten months from 1st March 1923 of a Revenue Inspector on Rs 60-4-80 p.m. plus a duty allowance of Rs 10 p.m. in the Mangalore taluk for work connected with the pest control operations

4. The following telegram will be despatched to the Collector of S. Canara :—

Telegram no 906C/23-1 dated 13/3/23

Your [letter R.O.C. no] 7624 [- 22- V2 dated] 8th March [1923] Retention [of a] Revenue Inspector [for] pest control operations [in the] Mangalore [taluk] sanctioned

Telegram only

DESPATCHED
13th
~~10~~ MAR 1923

DESPATCHED
13 MAR 1923

To the Asst. Collr. (ADRS)
" Asst. Secy.
" Finance Dept
" Rev. Dept
Copy to Retrenchment Secy

VP
10.3.23

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
14 MAR 1923

10.3.23.
10.3

Note Rev. no. for acceptance of para 3

10/3/23

S/ V.P.
10/3/23

13/3/23.

Issue the order
Rev & Finance.

Rev. no. 906C/23-1

13/3/23

D&O Dept

Lo Wt.

The order may be penned and returned.

[The copy of the P.P. and the Colonist letter received direct in this dept are now with the D.A. Gen. return from him, they may be lodged.]

RH
15/8/23

Subd. linked.

RH
19/3. I see no objection

But re debit of cost see linked file

Berne. 89 (iii) 23
9.4.23 Dft. £ w/o

9.4.23

Finance Dept.

The question regarding debit of cost has been dealt with in linked file.

HS.
1.4.23.

(Initialled in linked file)
Actg. Dep.H.

To Under Secretary

Extracts of relevant notes regarding debit of cost and of the memo in the linked case will be typed & added to this file and the papers attached.

hm
15.5.23
JAD
14.5.23.

Subd P.T.S. 17.V.23
to linked case

Finance Department.

The remarks of the Acct-Genl. may, it is submitted be invited as regards the head ~~to~~ which the changes in the present case should be debited.

T.M.H.
11.4.23

Office of the Accountant-General,
Fort S t. George,
Dated the 25th April 1923.

The charges are correctly debitable to "34 Agriculture" as in the case of establishments employed in the Godavari and Kistna Districts for tl eradication of the palmyra disease. From Section 20 of the Madras Act 111 of 1919, it seems, that the Director of Agriculture, will ordinarily administer the provisions of the Act.

(Sd) T.H.Worgan,
D.A.G.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Finance Department, U.O.

Finance Department.

~~Please see notes from page 8 ante.~~ The debit of the pay of the Rev.~~Dep~~ Inspr.sanctioned in G.O.429 Rev. dated ~~xx-xxxx~~ 13-3-23 for work connected with pest control operations, to "34 Agriculture" as advised by the A.G. may be accepted. The case may be returned to Development U.O.

C.R.S.
9-5-23

Development

Development Department.

Under-Secy.

Submitted. The may be transmitted to Rev.Dept. for noting the change in debit. On return the D.A. should be consulted if he could meet the additional cost by re-apprn.

Revenue.Department.

M E M O R A N D U M.

o. 1908-C/23-1, dated 19th May 1923.

Establishment (South Kanara) - Revenue Inspector, Mangalore taluk - ost ~~Rinderpest~~ control operations - Debit.

Reference paragraph 3 of G.O.No.429 Mis. Revenue,dated 13-3-1923.

The charges are debitable to '34 Agriculture'.

(By Order)
P.T. Srinivasa Achariyar,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue and Settlement.
" " Accountant-General.

Forwarded to the

(By Order)

Superintendent.

K/19-5-23.